

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/529,384	HOVELL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Donald L. Storm	2654	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

(1) Donald L. Storm, Examiner.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Raymond Mah, Attorney for Applicant.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 October 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
Claim 1
Claims discussed:
Claim 1
Prior art documents discussed:
Klovstad
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Donald L. Storm

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The Examiner suggested an amendment to step e) of claim 1 that would distinguish from Klovstad. The Examiner explained that Klovstad adds models one state at a time by activating the next kernel, whereas the Applicant's Fig. 4 and its caption indicate adding several or all states of a model at once, apparently at the time that the subset is selected. Klovstad's Fig. 10 was drawn to support the description of Klovstad's subject matter, not to describe this instant application; however, by boxing groups of the time sequence of kernels as a representation of Klovstad's states of a model, it becomes easier to see how Klovstad adds a model. Klovstad adds one state at a time, therefore not adding a plurality of states of each respective model when the model is instantiated.

The Examiner also requested that the Applicant add claim-supporting language to the specification's discussion of Fig. 4 for this amendment..